MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI: It may lead to personal recrimination and certain honourable members will be saying that certain other honourable members were drawing T. A. which they were not legitimately entitled to.

PRESIDENT: The honourable member will bear with me and clear my difficulty. What is the appropriate legal or official procedure by which this House can express its opinion that such a remuneration or allowance as has been drawn by the honourable members was inappropriate or excessive? How is it to be done in the course of the discussion?

MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI: My impression is that Government must have been responsible for allowing the honourable members to draw T. A. more than they were legitimately entitled to draw and a censure motion on Government for not discouraging the practice would have been quite appropriate. T. A. is drawn in accordance with rates passed by Government. But to say that this House has been irregular or wrong in drawing more T. A.——

PRESIDENT: It does not satisfy my position. Supposing the House were to say that out of the savings from the T. A. which are curtailed, Village Unions should be helped. How is it to be done from the legislative or the official point of view? There is a great deal to be said in favour of the cogency of the arguments of the Legal Remembrancer. But I do not think that I can rule the motion out of order.

MR. N. NARAYANA KURUP: May I know whether it cannot be done by passing a resolution?

MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI: Yes, that can be done. But a cut motion means a censure motion. Is the House in order in censuring itself?

PRESIDENT: There are such things as penitence and self-immolation.

MR. KAINIKKARA M. PADMANABHA PILLAI: Sir, this is a motion to effect retrenchment in the allowances of the Members of the Legislature. The members of this House are not responsible for fixing the rate of T. A. or halting allowance. It is the Government that is responsible for fixing the rate. So the censure will be on the Government and not upon the House.

PRESIDENT: The House will now adjourn and meet again at 2 0' clock.

[The House adjourned for lunch at 1 P. M. and reassembled at 2 P. M.]

MR. KAINIKKARA M. PADMANABHA PILLAI: Sir, I raised a point of order that as the members of the Assembly are not responsible for fixing the rate of their T. A. the cut motion should have been brought under General Administration and not under Legislative Bodies. As it is, the motion is out of order.

MR. P. NARAYANA PILLAI: Sir, my object was only to express my opinion in the matter. But I appreciate the point of order raised by the Legal Remembrancer and so I withdraw the motion.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

PRESIDENT: I think the motion is out of order. As has been pointed out, in so far as any motion raises a question which can be dealt with by a body to whom it is addressed, it is in order. But as the rates are fixed by Government this motion should come under General Administration-Secretariat. So, the motion is out of order.

The following motions were ruled out of order :—

Motions Nos. 2 & 3.

MR. K. P. KOCHUKORA THARAKAN:

2. To reduce the allotment of Rs. 49,300 for total of 19 (h) by Re. 1.

MR. K. P. KOCHUKORA THARAKAN

3 To reduce the allotment of Rs. 49,300 for total of 19 (h) by Re. 1.

Motion No. 4.

The following motion was not moved :

MR. N. VELU ACHARI :

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 46,300 for 19 (h) Legislative Bodies by Re 1.

The motion that a sum of Rs. 46,300 be granted to Government under Demand XIII—General Administration-Legislative Bodies was put and carried.

The grant was made.

DEMAND XIV—ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE.

MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI: Sir, I rise to move for the grant of a sum of Rs. 10,65,073 under Demand No. XIV—Administration of Justice.

Motion No. 1.

MR. P. NARAYANA PILLAI :

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,780 for travelling allowances by Re. 1.

PRESIDENT; Is this in order?

MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI: Sir, it depends upon what view the Chair takes. The honourable member says—

PRESIDENT: Very well. I think that the honourable member can move the motion.

MR. P. NARAYANA PILLAI: Sir, I rise to move to reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,780 for travelling allowances by Re. 1. The object of my motion is to discuss the desirability of increasing the jurisdiction of the Mavelikara Munsiff's Court.

Sir, by a revision of the territorial jurisdiction of local courts some of the pakuthies were left out of consideration in the matter of providing facilities and conveniences to the litigant public of those pakuthies. They are now forced to incur additional expenditure which they cannot afford to meet. Thus the litigant public of some pakuthies of Mavelikara are put to serious inconveniences on account of the fact that they are not included in the jurisdiction of the Mavelikara Munsiff's court. This has also the ultimate result of transferring the appellate jurisdiction of these pakuthies t the Quilon District Court. People of more than half the area of the Mavelikara taluk comprising about 6 pakuthies of the taluk have now to go to Quilon

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly